

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/874,266	FRENDLE ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Cheryl N Hawkins	1734	

**All Participants:**

(1) Cheryl N Hawkins.

(2) Lawrence D Eisen.

**Status of Application:** In Condition for Allowance

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 14 May 2004

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:  
N/A

Claims discussed:  
44, 45, 54, and 57

Prior art documents discussed:  
N/A

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  
*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Cheryl N. Hawkins 5/14/04  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner Hawkins contacted Attorney Michael Bednarek to discuss the issue of claims 54 and 57 being duplicates of claims 44 and 45, respectively. Attorney Lawrence Eisen granted permission for Examiner Hawkins to cancel claims 54 and 57 via an Examiner's Amendment. .